REC'D 0 6 APR 2005

PCT

WIPO

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	EOD EIDEIDED ACTION		on of Transmittal of International	
001107.00350	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Preliminary E	xamination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No.	International filing date (day/n	ionth/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US03/17262	04 June 2003 (04.06.2003)		06 June 2002 (06.06.2002)	
International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IPC			
IPC(7): C12Q 1/68; C12P 19/34; C07H	21/04 and US Cl.: 435/6, 91.1,	91.21; 536/24.33		
Applicant				
JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY SCHO	OOL OF MEDICINE			
This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.				
2. This REPORT consists of	a total of \sum sheets, including	ng this cover she	et.	
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total ofsheets.				
3. This report contains indic	ations relating to the followin	g items:		
J. This report contains male	ations folding to die following			
I Basis of the rep	oort			
II Priority				
III Non-establishment of report with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity o	f invention			
V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
VI Certain docume	VI Certain documents cited			
VII Certain defects in the international application				
VIII Certain observations on the international application				
Date of submission of the demand	In	ate of completion	of this report	
Date of submission of the demand		ite of completion	Tor ans report	
31 December 2003 (31.12.2003)		18 February 2005 (18.02.2005)		
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/US		Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: IPEA/US Commissioner for Patents		Authorized officer Suryaprabha Chundury		
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		lephone No. 703-		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July	Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(July 1998)			

. INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.	
PCT/US03/17262	

I.	Basis	of the report
1.	With	regard to the elements of the international application:*
	\boxtimes	the international application as originally filed.
	\boxtimes	the description:
		pages 1-12 as originally filed
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
	K Z	pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the claims:
		pages 13-16 , as originally filed pages NONE , as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19
		pages NONE , filed with the demand
		pages NONE , filed with the letter of
	\boxtimes	the drawings:
		pages 1-4 , as originally filed
		pages NONE, filed with the demand pages NONE, filed with the letter of
	\Box	
		the sequence listing part of the description:
		pages NONE, as originally filed pages NONE, filed with the demand
		pages NONE, filed with the letter of
2.	langi	regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the page in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. We elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:
	Ines	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule23.1(b)).
	님	
		the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination(under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3).
3.	With	n regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the national preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the international application in printed form.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
		The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
		The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.
4	. [The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
		the description, pages NONE
		the claims, Nos. NONE
		the drawings, sheets/fig NONE
5	. [This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
t t	us ren	neeyond the disclosure as ined, as included in the beginning Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in ort as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17). replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.
1		



International application No. PCT/US03/17262

V.	V. Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	STATEMENT			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	4, 10, 14, 23-25, 30, 35	YES
		Claims	1-3,5-9,12,13,15,16,19-22,26-29 and 31-34	NO
	Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	NONE	YES
		Claims	4, 10, 14, 17-18, 23-25, 30, 35	NO
	Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-35	YES
		Claims	NONE	NO
2	CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS			

2. CITATIONS AND EXPLANATIONS

Please See Continuation Sheet

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/US03/17262

Supplemental	Box
--------------	-----

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-3, 5-9, 12-13, 15-16, 34 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Lapidus et al. (USPN. 5,928,870).

Lapidus et al. teach a method of associating genotype with a phenotype (genomic instability) comprising (i) determining levels of expression of alleles (enumerate amount of gene or genes of a genetic region) in a first population (sample) (see col. 2, line 58-60, col. 3, line 11-15); (ii) comparing the levels of expression of alleles with a second population (known control sample); identifying the levels of expression of alleles whose expression differs statistically significant manner between the first and second population as having an association with the phenotype (see col. 2, line 60-67, col. 3, line 15-27); Lapidus et al. also teach (a) that the phenotype comprises, disease susceptibility or a disease (cancer or precancer), (genetic abnormality), status of heterozygosity of the genes of interest based on sequence variation including insertion, deletion, SNP (see col. 2, line 39-57); (b) determination of level of expression using dye terminators (see col. 3, line 42-54). Thus the disclosure of Lapidus et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims and therefore the instant claims lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2).

Claims 19-22, 26-29, 31-33 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Lapidus et al. (USPN. 6,146,828).

Lapidus et al. teach a method for measuring allelic expression variation in a non-imprinted individual, comprising (i) reverse transcribing and amplifying mRNA from an individual to form a first cDNA and a second cDNA (see col. 4, line 28-51, col. 6, line 15-45, col. 7, line 1-38); (ii) hybridizing primers to cDNA and labeling the primers using single base extension (see col. 7, line 7-67); (iii) comparing the amounts of differentially labeled primers, wherein the statistically significant difference between the first and second primers are indicative of first and second allele (see col. 4, line 20-67, col. 6, line 64-67, see col. 12, line 15-60); Lapidus et al. also teach fluorescent dye terminators (see col. 10, line 22-38); single base extension (see col. 7, line 7-21); detecting alteration in expression variation (see col. 6, line 16-32). Thus the disclosure of Lapidus et al. meets the limitations in the instant claims and therefore the instant claims lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2).

Claims 4, 10, 14, 17-18, 23-25, 30-35, lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Lapidus et al. (USPN. Lapidus et al. (USPN. 5,928,870) ('870) in view of Lapidus et al. (USPN. 6,146,828) ('828).

Lapidus et al. ('870) teach a method of associating genotype with a phenotype (genomic instability) comprising (i) determining levels of expression of alleles (enumerate amount of gene or genes of a genetic region) in a first population (sample) (see col. 2, line 58-60, col. 3, line 11-15); (ii) comparing the levels of expression of alleles with a second population (known control sample); identifying the levels of expression of alleles whose expression differs statistically significant manner between the first and second population as having an association with the phenotype (see col. 2, line 60-67, col. 3, line 15-27); Lapidus et al. ('870) also teach (a) that the

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Continuation Sheet) (July 1998)



International application No. PCT/US03/17262

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

phenotype comprises, disease susceptibility or a disease (cancer or precancer), genetic abnormality, status of heterozygosity of the genes of interest based on sequence variation including insertion, deletion, (see col. 2, line 39-57); (b) determination of level of expression using dye terminators (see col. 3, line 42-54). However, Lapidus et al. ('870) did not teach phenotype as birth defect, determining haplotype or SNP, fluorescent dye terminators.

Lapidus et al. ('828) teach a method for measuring allelic expression variation in a non-imprinted individual, comprising (i) reverse transcribing and amplifying mRNA from an individual to form a first cDNA and a second cDNA (see col. 4, line 28-51, col. 6, line 15-45, col. 7, line 1-38); (ii) hybridizing primers to cDNA and labeling the primers using single base extension (see col. 7, line 7-67); (iii) comparing the amounts of differentially labeled primers, wherein the statistically significant difference between the first and second primers are indicative of first and second allele (see col. 4, line 20-67, col. 6, line 64-67, see col. 12, line 15-60); Lapidus et al. ('828) also teach fluorescent dye terminators (see col. 10, line 22-38); single base extension (see col. 7, line 7-21); detecting alteration in expression variation (see col. 6, line 16-32).

It would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to modify a method associating a genotype with a phenotype as taught by Lapidus et al. ('870) with incorporation of fluorescent dye

NEW CITATIONS	diagnostic method for identifying genetic variation. An ordinary practitioner would have been motivated to modify the method as taught by ('870) with the incorporation of a step of identifying birth defects, haplotype and use of fluorescent dye terminators as taught by ('828) for the purpose of determining a genetic variation with enhanced sensitivity and specificity. Therefore the instant claims lack inventive step under PCT Article 33(3).
NEW CITATIONS	
	NEW CITATIONS



PCT

NOTE OF INFORMAL COMMUNICATION WITH THE APPLICANT

(PCT Rule 66.6)

International application	No.	Applicant's or agent's file	e reference	Date of informal communication
PCT/US03/17262		001107.00350		(day/month/year)
Applicant JOHNS HOPKINS UNI	VERSITY SCHO	OOL OF MEDICINE		
Communication by telephone	Participants Applicant Agent:	t: JO/HNS, HOPKINS	Identity checked UNIVERSITY SCH	authorization personally known HOOL OF MEDICINE
personal	personal Examiner(s): Suryaprabha Chunduru			
Summary of communication	ation:			
Examiner telephoned to authorization to do PCT	Sarah Kagan, A -409 instead of 4	applicant's Attorney, on Fel 108, to expedite the process	bruary 18, 2005. A	pplicants' Attorney agreeded and has given
		•		
				}
An extension of time-limit is granted (Form PCT/IPEA/427.				
A copy of this note is being sent to the applicant with Form PCT/IPEA/429. PCT/IPEA/424.				
Name and mailing add	ess of the IPEA/	US	Authorized office	* XILON I
Commissioner P.O. Box 1450	for Patents		Suryaprabha Chu	
Alexandria, Vi Facsimile No. (703) 30	rginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 7	03-308-0196
Form PCT/IPEA/428 (June 1997)				